



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,439	07/17/2003	David Welch	2333	3569
28005	7590	04/13/2007	EXAMINER	
SPRINT 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			SMITH, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,439	WELCH, DAVID
	Examiner Marcus R. Smith	Art Unit 2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/17/03.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/04, 6/23/05, 4/07/06
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sarkar et al. (US 6,917,587).

with regard to claims 1 and 8, Sarkar et al. teaches:

A method comprising:

detecting (Voice activity detection, VAD) that a wireless communication device has neither sent nor received packet-based real-time media for a threshold period of time (column 7, lines 55-65); and

responsively sending from the wireless communication device into a radio access network at least one keep alive signal (column 7, lines 60-65: the examiner views the silent insertion descriptor (SID) packet as a keep alive signal).

with regard to claim 2, Sarkar et al. teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein sending at least one keep alive signal comprises periodically sending keep alive signals (column 7, lines 55-65: The device sends a SID

packet every silent period and VAD detects silence periods. Thus the SID packets will be periodical.).

with regard to claim 6, Sarkar et al. teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the keep alive signal is an empty Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) packet (column 7, lines 55-67: the examiners views the SID packet as an empty RTP packet since it has no data.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5, 7, 9-10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarkar et al. (US 6,917,587) in view of Griffin et al. (US 7,072,941).

with regard to claim 4-5, and 7:

with regard to claim 4:

The method of claim 1, wherein, the wireless communication device communicates with the radio access network over a radio-link, and wherein sending at least one keep alive signal into the radio access network comprises:

sending keep alive signals into the radio-access network in order to hold open the radio-link (column 8, lines 1-20: SID packets provides connectivity (open

communication) since it stops call manager, 14, from losing the ability to receive signaling.).

with regard to claim 5:

The method of claim 1, wherein the radio access network applies a radio-link timeout timer to a radio link assigned to the wireless communication device, and wherein the at least one keep alive signal comprises any packet-data that would cause the radio access network to reset the radio-link timeout timer (column 11, lines 5-25: Since the call resource, 12, returns back to step 46, before fourth timer period expires, it will go back to step 56 which initiates all timers. The examiner views initiating timers as method of resetting timers.).

with regard to claim 7:

The method of claim 1, wherein the radio access network provides connectivity with a packet-switched network (network, 20: column 3, lines 5-30), and wherein sending the keep alive signal into the radio access network comprises sending the keep alive signal into the radio access network for transmission, in turn, into the packet-switched network (column 8, lines 1-20: SID packets provides connectivity since it stops call manager from losing the ability to receive signaling.).

For claims 4-5, and 7, Sarkar et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for radio access network connecting the device 16 to the packet switched network, 20. However, Sarkar teaches how the device 16 may be a cellular telephone or a wireless device (column 3, lines 40-42).

Griffin et al. teaches a mobile terminal (100: cellular telephone, or wireless device) communicating with Packet switched Network (203: similar to network 20 in Sarkar et al.) through a wireless carrier infrastructure (202, radio access network) (column 4, lines 1-20) in order to allowed the user maximum mobility to connect to the network from anywhere.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a radio access network to connect the wireless device to packet switch network as taught by Griffin et al. in the system of Sarkar et al. in order to allowed the user maximum mobility to connect to the network from anywhere.

with regard to claim 9, Sarkar et al. teaches:

A cellular mobile station (16, column 3, lines 35-46) comprising:
a processor (The examiner views a processor in the a cellular telephone is inherent.); and

wherein the processor is programmed to make a determination that the cellular mobile station has neither send nor received real-time media for a threshold period of time (column 7, lines 55-61: The examiner views VAD is in the device, 16, which detects the silent (inactive) periods.), and

wherein the processor is programmed to respond to the determination by sending at least one keep alive signal via the wireless communication interface into a radio access network (for SID packet: column 7, lines 60-65;),

whereby sending a keep alive signal into the radio access network causes the radio access network to reset a radio-link timeout timer (fourth timer) for a radio link

assigned to the cellular mobile station (column 11, lines 5-25: Since the call resource, 12, returns back to step 46, before fourth timer period expires, it will go back to step 56 which initiates all timers. The examiner views initiating timers as method of resetting timers.).

Sarkar et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for radio access network connecting the device 16 to the packet switched network, 20 and the mobile station has a wireless communication interface. However, Sarkar teaches how the device 16 may be a cellular telephone or a wireless device (column 3, lines 40-42).

Griffin et al. teaches a mobile terminal (100: cellular telephone, or wireless device) communicating with Packet switched Network (203: similar to network 20 in Sarkar et al.) through a wireless carrier infrastructure (202, radio access network) (column 4, lines 1-20) in order to allowed the user maximum mobility to connect to the network from anywhere. In Griffin et al., the mobile terminal has wireless transceiver (in the network interface, 306) (column 5, lines 23-26) in order to efficiently communicate with the wireless carrier infrastructure.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a radio access network to connect the wireless device to packet switch network and for the terminal to have a wireless interface as taught by Griffin et al. in the system of Sarkar et al. in order to allowed the user maximum mobility to connect to the network from anywhere and efficiently communicate with the wireless carrier infrastructure.

with regard to claim 10, Sarkar et al. teaches:

The cellular mobile station of claim 9, wherein the processor is programmed to periodically send keep alive signals into the radio access network in response to the determination (column 7, lines 55-65: The device sends a SID packet every silent period and VAD detects silence periods. Thus the SID packets will be periodical.).

with regard to claim 12:

wherein the mobile station is arranged to engage in packet-based real-time media communications (column 7, lines 55-60: Device 16, can support RTP packets.); and

wherein the mobile station is arranged (i) to detect that no packet-based real-time media has been communicated to or from the mobile station for a threshold period of time that is less than the predefined period of time, and (ii) to responsively transmit packet-data as a keep alive signal over the air interface (column 7, lines 55-67: see claim 9).

Sarkar et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for radio access network connecting the device 16 to the packet switched network, 20 and the mobile station having the data storage, a processor, a user interface, and a wireless communication interface. However, Sarkar teaches how the device 16 may be a cellular telephone or a wireless device (column 3, lines 40-42). It is inherent that mobile station will have a processor.

Griffin et al. teaches a mobile terminal (100: cellular telephone, or wireless device) communicating with Packet switched Network (203: similar to network 20 in

Sarkar et al.) through a wireless carrier infrastructure (202, radio access network) (column 4, lines 1-20) in order to allowed the user maximum mobility to connect to the network from anywhere. In Griffin et al., the mobile terminal has wireless transceiver (in the network interface, 306), CPU (211, processor), memory (310), and microphone (107, user interface) (column 5, lines 3-26) in order to efficiently communicate with the wireless carrier infrastructure. Also, a person skilled in art cellular telephone will know that the user interface can be the microphone, speaker, keypads, or soft keys.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a radio access network to connect the wireless device to packet switch network in Sarkar et al. in order to allowed the user maximum mobility to connect to the network from anywhere.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to a wireless interface, processor, memory, and a user interface in the mobile terminal as taught by Griffin et al. in the system of Sarkar et al. in order to efficiently communicate with the wireless carrier infrastructure.

with regard to claim 13:

The communication system of claim 12, wherein the packet-data that the mobile station transmits as a keep alive signal is an empty Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) packet (column 7, lines 55-67: the examiners views the SID packet as an empty RTP packet since it has no data.).

with regard to claim 14:

The communication system of claim 12, further comprising a communication server on the packet-switched network, wherein the mobile station is arranged to send the packet-data as a keep alive signal to the communication server (call resource, 12) (column 7, lines 60-65).

with regard to claim 15:

The communication system of claim 14, wherein the packet-data that the mobile station transmits as a keep alive signal is an empty Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) packet (column 7, lines 55-67: the examiners views the SID packet as an empty RTP packet since it has no data.).

5. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarkar et al. (US 6,917,587) and Griffin et al. (US 7,072,941) as applied to claim 1/9 above, and further in view of Wooton et al. (US 6,128,298).

Sarkar et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for wherein the radio access network imposes a radio-link timeout period, and wherein periodically sending keep alive signals comprises of sending keep alive signals at a period that is shorter than the radio-link timeout period.

Wooten et al. teaches a method having a timeout period longer than keep alive period (column 7, lines 45-56) in order to not wait too long for a packet activity.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have the SID packet period to shorter than the timeout period as taught by Wooten et al. in the system of Sarkar et al. in order to not wait too long for a packet activity.

6. Claim 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarkar et al. (US 6,917,587) and Griffin et al. (US 7,072,941) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Lekven et al. (US 5,884,196).

with regard to claim 16,

and the communication server (call resource, 12) is arranged to bridge voice-over-packet communications between the mobile station and one or more other stations (column 4, lines 10-20).

Sarkar et al. and Griffin et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for includes a push-to-talk button.

Lekven et al. teaches a push-to-talk button to communicate with communication manager (call resource, 12) through a Radio access network to other mobile devices (column 6, lines 50-67) in order to reduce the power consumption of the mobile node (column 6, lines 5-8).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use a push-to-talk button in the remote unit as taught by Lekven et al. in the system of Sarkar et al. and Griffin et al. in order to reduce the power consumption of the mobile node.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus R. Smith whose telephone number is 571 270 1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 7:30 am - 5:00 pm every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MRS 4/06/07



CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600